

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,287	12/03/2003	Scott W. Sanders	480062001800 4663	
25224 MORRISON &	7590 06/13/2007 FOERSTER LLP		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP 555 WEST FIFTH STREET			STIGELL, THEODORE J	
	SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3763	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/727,287	SANDERS, SCOTT W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Theodore J. Stigell	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 ∧	lovember 2006.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under the	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-12,14,15,17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8,13,16 and 19-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	of the certified copies not receive	su.				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Specification

The amendments to the Specification, filed 11/22/2006, are acknowledged and accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are rendered indefinite because the Applicant is trying to claim method of use steps in a method of making claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8, 13, 16, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moorehead (6,478,783). Moorehead discloses an implantable access port comprising a housing (18) comprising a fluid chamber and an access aperture in fluid communication with the chamber, wherein the aperture is covered by a septum (20), a port stem (32) extending from the housing, wherein the port stem has an inner

Art Unit: 3763

lumen (78) forming a channel in communication with the chamber, and a marking (proximal 80) for providing guidance to a user for placement of a catheter over the port stem, the marking comprising at least a portion of a band at least partially disposed about the circumference of the port stem, wherein the marking is located on the port stem between a distal end of the port stem and a proximal end of the port stem, wherein the marking is positioned on the port stem such that if the catheter is aligned with a locking sleeve the catheter would not abut the housing. It is the Examiner's position that Moorehead discloses the methods recited by the Applicant.

Claims 1-8, 13, 16, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watson et al. (5,137,529). Watson discloses an implantable access port comprising a housing (12) comprising a fluid chamber and an access aperture in fluid communication with the chamber, wherein the aperture is covered by a septum (20), a port stem (62) extending from the housing, wherein the port stem has an inner lumen (64) forming a channel in communication with the chamber, and a marking (86) for providing guidance to a user for placement of a catheter over the port stem, the marking comprising at least a portion of a band at least partially disposed about the circumference of the port stem, wherein the marking is located on the port stem between a distal end of the port stem and a proximal end of the port stem, and the marking comprises contrast material (column 7, lines 27-30), wherein the marking is positioned on the port stem such that if the catheter is aligned with a locking sleeve the catheter would not abut the housing. It is the Examiner's position that Watson discloses the methods recited by the Applicant.

Art Unit: 3763

Claims 1, 8, 13, 16, and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wiita et al. (4,772,270). Wiita discloses an implantable access port comprising a housing (10) comprising a fluid chamber and an access aperture in fluid communication with the chamber, wherein the aperture is covered by a septum (70), a port stem (82) extending from the housing, wherein the port stem has an inner lumen (86) forming a channel in communication with the chamber, and a marking (102) for providing guidance to a user for placement of a catheter over the port stem, the marking comprising at least a portion of a band at least partially disposed about the circumference of the port stem, wherein the marking is located on the port stem between a distal end of the port stem and a proximal end of the port stem, wherein the marking is positioned on the port stem such that if the catheter is aligned with a locking sleeve the catheter would not abut the housing, and further comprising a locking sleeve (130). It is the Examiner's position that Wiita discloses the methods recited by the Applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 3763

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 5

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moorehead (6,478,783) or Wiita et al. (4,772,270). Moorhead and Wiita meets the claims limitations as described above in reference to claim 1 but fails to include that the marking comprise an ink contrast agent, a ribbon, a metallic ribbon or a shrink-wrap plastic.

Applicant has not disclosed that these specific components solve a state problem or are for any particular purpose. The instant specification does state that in general the marking does facilitate visualization for proper attachment of a catheter to the port stem. However, the specification does not indicate that these particular components as a marking are needed to the exclusion of other or similar forms of port stem markings. There is no disclosure that the claimed forms of markings are needed to perform this function and that the other form of markings would not perform equally well.

Therefore it appears that the port stem marker as described by Moorhead and Wiita et al or any form of port stem marker would perform equally well. Accordingly, the use of these forms on markings on the port stem is deemed to be an obvious design consideration, which fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art of Moorhead and Wiita.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 3763

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 13, 16 and 19-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Stigell whose telephone number is 571-272-8759. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3763

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Theodore J. Stigell

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700